

TEXAS CHILDREN'S HOSPITAL

EVIDENCE-BASED OUTCOMES CENTER

Procedural Sedation Evidence-Based Guideline

<u>Definition</u>: The purpose of this evidence-based guideline is to standardize care for patients undergoing procedural sedation receiving minimal, moderate, or deep sedation for acute diagnostic, therapeutic or minor surgical procedures outside the OR at Texas Children's Hospital (TCH). The goal for all patients is to minimize physical discomfort; minimize negative psychological response to treatment by providing sedation and analgesia. (1) Pharmacological and non-pharmacological interventions (i.e., cognitive-behavioral interventions: CBI) are important components of a procedural sedation program.

Inclusion Criteria

- All patients treated at TCH undergoing any sedation (minimal, moderate, or deep) for procedures that cause pain, distress and/or discomfort.
- Patients admitted to the Pavilion for Women (PFW) or Adult Congenital Heart Disease (ACHD) unit

Exclusion Criteria

All patients who will require general anesthesia for procedures Pregnancy

Guiding Principles(2-4)

Maximize comfort and minimize pain and distress. The ideal goal of pain management is to make the procedure comfortable for the patient and family. (See Table 6)

Use non-pharmacologic interventions as an adjunct to pharmacologic interventions. Non-pharmacologic techniques (i.e., CBI) should be utilized and taught to every patient who is developmentally able to use these strategies to increase comfort and decrease pain and distress. (See Table 7 and Table 8)

Prepare the patient and caregivers. The key to managing procedure-related pain and distress is preparation. This begins with the patient and/or caregivers receiving developmentally appropriate information regarding what to expect and stress-reducing techniques. Patient and/or families should be involved in choices offered for pharmacologic and non-pharmacologic interventions for procedures.

Assure provider competency in performing procedures and sedation. Procedures and sedation must be performed by persons with sufficient technical expertise or by providers directly supervised by experts who are competent in performing the procedures and sedation.

Use appropriate monitoring to assure safety. Sedation should be administered in a monitored setting with resuscitative drugs and equipment available. In procedures requiring moderate and deep sedation two licensed independent practitioners must be present: typically one to perform the procedure and one to administer medications and monitor the patient.

Patient Evaluation (5)

Evaluate patient and determine the need to utilize sedation for a procedure

- Evaluate injury/condition and urgency of procedure needing to be performed.
- Obtain a comprehensive history to include:
 - Age of patient
 - Underlying medical conditions (e.g., syndromes, sleep apnea)
 - Allergies
 - Neurologic/Mental status
 - Previous reactions/responses to sedation
 - Previous experience with painful conditions
 - Current prescriptions, over-the-counter and herbal medications/supplements
 - Body mass index (BMI) if feasible
 - History of prematurity for children
 - Pregnancy status if applicable
 - History of tobacco, alcohol, or substance use if applicable
- Determine an ASA Physical Status Classification (See Table 1) Perform a focused physical examination:
 - Vital signs
 - Auscultation of heart and lung sounds
 - Specific evaluation of the airway to determine likelihood of airway compromise
- General Assessment
 - State of dentition/presence of loose teeth
 - Presence of mouth breathing, nasal speech or snoring usually indicates adenoidal or tonsillar hypertrophy, and such patients have an increased incidence of airway obstruction when sedated.
 - Neck mobility
 - Considerations for adult patients
 - Size/placement of mandible, tongue size, and mouth opening
 - ⊙ General guideline for adequate adult airway positioning: (1) Able to open mouth sufficiently to vertically place ≥3 finger width;
 (2) Distance from tip of chin to neckmandible junction is ≥3 finger widths
- Anesthesiology consultation is recommended for the patient presenting with the following:
 - ASA Class III to V (exception for patients in intensive care units)
 - A severe problem/injury
 - Complex medical condition(s)
 - Potentially difficult airway (e.g., short neck, small mandible, large tongue, tracheomalacia, laryngomalacia, a history of difficult intubation, congenital anomalies, craniofacial injuries, hydrocephalus, moderate-to-severe tonsillar hypertrophy)
- Choose anticipated category/level of sedation (minimal, moderate or deep) based on the procedure (See Table 2), level of pain, age and psychological status of patient.
- Assess the timing and nature of oral intake. (See Table 3)
 Adult fasting recommendations based off <u>American</u>
 Society of Anesthesiologist guidelines.

Table 1. ASA Physical Status Classification (3,6)					
ASA CLASS	DESCRIPTION	EXAMPLE			
Class I	Normal, healthy patient				
Class II	Patient w/ mild systemic disease	Controlled asthma			
Class III	Patient w/ severe systemic disease	Patient who is actively wheezing			
Class IV	Patient w/ severe systemic disease that is a constant threat to life	Patient with status asthmaticus			
Class V	A moribund patient who is not expected to survive without the procedure	Patient with severe cardiomyopathy requiring heart transplantation			

Minimal Sadation	(apvielyci	io)		
	Table 2. L	evels of	Sedation	(4-7)

Minimal Sedation (anxiolysis)

Patient responds normally to verbal commands.

Cognitive function may be impaired.

Respiratory and cardiovascular systems unaffected. Decreases anxiety and/or facilitates coping skills.

Moderate Sedation (previously called conscious sedation)

Patient responds to verbal commands but may not respond to light or tactile stimulation.

Cognitive function is impaired.

Respiratory function adequate; cardiovascular unaffected.

Level of consciousness is moderately depressed.

Deep Sedation

Patient cannot be easily aroused except with repeated or painful stimuli. Ability to maintain airway may be **impaired**.

Spontaneous ventilation may be **impaired**; cardiovascular function is maintained. May require assistance with maintaining a patent airway.

General Anesthesia

Loss of consciousness, patient cannot be aroused with painful stimuli. Airway cannot be maintained adequately and ventilation is impaired. Cardiovascular function may be impaired.

For patients presenting to the Emergency Center, please see page 12 for specific recommendations regarding fasting guidelines for emergent and urgent procedures (i.e., procedures that need to be completed in 3 hours).

Table 3. Moderate and Deep Sedation^ Fasting Guidelines for Patients Requiring a Semi-Urgent/Non-Urgent/Elective						
Procedure**						
Ingested Material	Child Minimum Fasting Period (h)	Adult Minimum Fasting Period (h)	Examples			
Clear Liquids	1	2	Any liquid you can see through (e.g., Apple juice, water, pedialyte, black coffee, tea with no additives)			
Breast Milk	4	4				
Infant formula	6	6				
Non-human milk	6	6				
Light meal	6	6	Any food with low fat and protein content (e.g., toast, crackers, jam, cereal)			
Heavy meal	8	8	All fatty or fried foods, meat, cheese, ice cream			
Medications	Usual Time with sip of water	Usual Time with sip of water	EXCEPTIONS: Hold ACE inhibitors, ARBs† and Metformin on day of surgery; Give white liquid antacid 8 hours prior			

[†]ARBs = angiotensin receptor blockers

Optional Additional Assessment

<u>Mallampati Classification</u> to assess for a difficult airway more prone to obstruction, difficult ventilation or difficult intubation. The <u>3-3-2 Rule</u> can be used to predict difficult intubation.

^{**}Patients receiving 30-70% nitrous oxide without additional sedatives or narcotics for procedural sedation outside the operating room do not have fasting requirements prior to procedure.

[^]Patients receiving minimal sedation are not required to fast.

Critical Points of Evidence*

Evidence Supports

Pharmacological Interventions for Minimal, Moderate, and Deep Sedation

- Intranasal dexmedetomidine is safe and effective to provide minimal and moderate sedation during non-invasive/painless
 procedures or painful procedures with the use of a topical anesthetic for patients greater than or equal to 1 month of age. Strong
 recommendation, low quality evidence (18-33)
- Choral hydrate should continue to be administered to provide moderate sedation in patients requiring pulmonary function testing. Strong recommendation, low quality evidence (34-36)
- Intranasal midazolam is safe and effective to provide minimal sedation during non-invasive/painless procedures. Strong recommendation, low quality evidence (37-48)
- Nitrous oxide dosed at 50% continuous flow is safe and effective when used as the sole agent for minimal sedation in patients greater than 1 year of age. – Strong recommendation, low quality evidence (54-69)
- Nitrous oxide dosed at 50% continuous flow is safer and more effective than 70% nitrous oxide for minimal sedation in patients greater than 1 year of age. Strong recommendation, low quality evidence (70-72)
- Intranasal midazolam should be the primary sedative agent for procedural sedation in neonates and former premature infants without intravenous access Strong recommendation, very low quality evidence (24,25,29,31,33,38,40,41,73,74)

Pharmacological Procedural Pain Management

- Instill 2% lidocaine gel into the urethra before urinary catheterization for children >2 years old. Strong recommendation, moderate
 quality evidence (75-78)
- IV morphine is effective for pain reduction for extremity fracture reduction. Strong recommendation, low quality evidence (79-81)
- Intranasal fentanyl is safe and effective to reduce moderate to severe pain. Strong recommendation, moderate quality evidence
 (80,82-87)
- Morphine and/or LMX cream should be administered for chest tube removal. Strong recommendation, low quality evidence (123-125)
- Subcutaneous lidocaine should be administered before drain removal. Strong recommendation, very low quality evidence (126)
- Oral morphine and/or fentanyl is effective for pain relief for burn dressing changes. Strong recommendation, low quality evidence (82,127-130)
- Oral sucrose should be administered for insertion of urinary catheters in infants younger than 90 days. Strong recommendation, low quality evidence (131)
- A topical local anesthesia (i.e., LET, EMLA cream) should be used to decrease the pain of local anesthetic injection in minor/simple lacerations. – Strong recommendation, low quality evidence (132-137)
- Intranasal ketamine is safe and effective in reducing pain and preprocedure anxiety. Weak recommendation, low quality evidence
 (138-141)

Pharmacological Interventions for Discomfort

• Ondansetron (Zofran) should be considered to prevent and decrease medication (ketamine) related vomiting in patients ≥5 years old. – Strong recommendation, low quality evidence (88)

Fasting Requirements

- Evaluation of oral intake for patients admitted to the **Emergency Department** requiring an urgent procedure should include timing and nature of intake in the 3 h prior to the procedure. Fasting time for patients **with risk factors** for aspiration receiving urgent procedures is 3 hours for milk, breast milk, infant formula, and solids. Strong recommendation, low quality evidence (89-94)
- Patients without risk factors for aspiration requiring sedation for urgent procedures in the Emergency Department should not have sedation withheld based on fasting times. Strong recommendation, low quality evidence (89,95-101)
 Remarks: Risk factors include severe systemic disease, moderate to severe obesity, obstructive sleep apnea, airway abnormalities, age 12 months or less, hyperemesis, esophageal disorders, and/or bowel obstruction. Higher risk procedures include bronchoscopy, upper endoscopy, propofol as the principle sedative, and/or procedures with an anticipated need for assisted ventilation or other airway management. (See Table 9)
- Patients receiving minimal sedation for procedures outside of the operating room do not need to adhere to preoperative fasting requirements prior to procedures. – Strong recommendation, low quality evidence (5,89,91,93,102,103)
- Patients receiving 30-70% nitrous oxide without additional sedatives or narcotics for procedural sedation outside the OR should not have fasting requirements prior to the procedure. – Strong recommendation, low quality evidence (104-108)

Monitoring

 Capnography effectively evaluates ventilation during procedures requiring moderate and deep sedation. – Strong recommendation, low quality evidence (5,109-113)

Trained Personnel

 Nitrous oxide dosed at 50% continuous flow is safe for administration by RNs with documented competency as the sole agent for minor procedures less than 30 minutes in patients greater than 1 year of age. – Strong recommendation, low quality evidence.

Evidence Lacking/Inconclusive

Pharmacological Interventions for Minimal, Moderate, and Deep Sedation

- Intranasal fentanyl, as a single agent, is safe and effective for moderate sedation. Weak recommendation, low quality evidence
 (82,85,86)
- Oral pentobarbital is safe and effective to provide moderate sedation during non-invasive/painless procedures. Weak recommendation, low quality evidence (49,121,122)

Recommendations Adopted/Adapted from Texas Children's Hospital Policy

Pediatric patients receiving moderate or deep sedation outside of the operating room for semi-urgent, non-urgent, and/or elective
procedures should have one-hour of clear liquid fasting in addition to standard breast milk, milk, formula and solid food fasting.
(5.104.142-152)

Remarks: This recommendation was adopted from the Texas Children's Hospital Anesthesia department policy for clear liquid fasting prior to general anesthesia for pediatric patients.

*NOTE: The references cited represent the entire body of evidence reviewed to make each recommendation.

Condition-Specific Elements of Clinical Management

Key components to procedural sedation management include effective patient and family education and psychological preparation for sedation, analgesia and the procedure. To minimize complications from sedation and/or analgesia, the appropriate level of sedation (minimal, moderate, and deep) and corresponding drug(s) and dosages are carefully chosen. Medications are administered in the proper setting with patient evaluation and monitoring before, during, and after their use. It is important to understand the different levels of sedation to maintain safety for the patient (See Table 2). (6-7,153) The selection of the fewest number of drugs and matching drug selection to the type and goal of the procedure are essential for safe practice. (148,149) When performing procedures, providers with emergency medicine and/or advance life support training may safely administer medications for minimal and moderate sedation. (114-118) It is also important for individuals performing the procedures to be skilled in both non-pharmacologic techniques (e.g., education, CBI, distraction) and pharmacological interventions.

<u>Considerations for Children or those with Special</u> Healthcare Needs

In December 2016, the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) issued a safety announcement regarding the potential effects of prolonged (>3 hours) or repeated anesthetics or sedations on patients younger than 3 years of age or in pregnant women during their third trimester. Recent studies suggest that a single, relatively short exposure to general anesthetic and sedation drugs in infants or toddlers is unlikely to have negative effects on behavior or learning. However, further research is needed to fully characterize how early life anesthetic/sedation exposure affects patients' brain development. Healthcare providers should speak with parents/guardians about the risks, benefits and timing of procedures requiring sedation and anesthesia. (156)

Non-pharmacological Interventions

 Request to see Child Life for coping techniques, procedural teaching, and psychosocial support.

Parent and Family Teaching (5,157-163)

- Establish rapport; reduce anxiety and fear.
- Assess what family members know and expect to learn, learning style and their concerns before teaching.
- Use a variety of teaching materials and common words (e.g., hands-on, lecture, demonstration, video, written material).
- Introduce most important information first.
- Keep information short and concrete.
- Evaluate teaching by eliciting feedback, repeat as needed.

- Use "teachable moments" times when family members are most likely to accept new information (e.g., when a member asks a question or when symptoms are present).
- Inform parents and/or caregivers of their supportive role and typical responses of patients undergoing the procedure.
- Encourage and facilitate parent and/or caregivers involvement in the support of the patient.
- Parents/Families should be given the option of remaining at bedside during invasive procedures and supported in their decision.
- Inform parents/guardians that two adults are encouraged to accompany patients riding in car seats home after discharge; one to operate vehicle and one to monitor patient.

Psychological Preparation (157,164)

- · Assess patient's present understanding.
- Consider the patient's developmental age and coping style when deciding how much time in advance to prepare.
- Keep information short and concrete in addition to utilizing visual aids to describe procedure.
- Emphasize sensory aspects of procedure- what patient will feel, see, hear, smell and touch.
- Emphasize what patient can do during procedure (e.g., lie still, count out loud, squeeze a hand, hug a doll).
- Give the patient choices where choices are allowed.
- Be honest with patient about unpleasant aspects of a procedure; avoid creating undue concern.
- Introduce anxiety-laden information last (e.g., starting a PIV).
- Allow for ample discussion and role rehearsal to prevent information overload, increase comfort with sequence of events and ensure adequate feedback.
- Emphasize end of procedure and any pleasurable events afterward (e.g., going home, seeing parents and/or caregiver).
- Provide a positive ending, praising efforts of cooperation and coping.
- Many procedures can be performed without sedation when the patient/family is prepared using the guiding principles outlined on in Tables 7 and 8.
- CBI is effective in helping patients through procedures.
- Allow patients to sit up when possible since it is less threatening than forcing them to lie down in a supine position.

Pre-procedure Preparation

Informed Consent

Prior to the administration of any level of sedation, the provider or their authorized designee will discuss the sedation plan and its associated risks, benefits, and alternatives with the parents/guardians of children and patient (if an adult or as appropriate) and answer all questions. For minimal sedation, this may simply be a verbal discussion and agreement, and such discussion and agreement will be documented in the patient medical record. For moderate sedation and deep sedation, informed consent will also be documented via the parent/legal guardian or patient if an adult signing the TCH sedation informed consent form.

Room Preparation (5-6)

- Before starting any procedure, consider the age of the patient, type of procedure, and coping style of the patient when choosing between the treatment room and the patient's room.
- Use of the treatment room is recommended for patients experiencing invasive procedures (e.g., Lumbar Puncture, Bone Marrow Aspiration) and for younger patients (e.g., ≤6 years). Use of the treatment room should be decided by the patient and/or caregiver for less invasive procedures AND/OR for older patients (e.g., >7 years).
- Ensure that quiet play materials are available (e.g., books, crayons, paper).
- · Minimize the amount of visible medical equipment.
- Minimize the discussions and use of threatening language during the procedure.
- Patients should be brought to the treatment room when the clinician is prepared to start the procedure.
- Before starting any procedure, ensure the following emergency equipment (e.g., Code/Crash Cart with defibrillator) is immediately available:
 - Pulse oximeter
 - Cardiac monitor
 - Sphygmomanometer or automatic blood pressure equipment with appropriate size cuffs
 - Capnography for moderate and deep sedation
 - Age and size appropriate bag-valve mask with $\ensuremath{\mathsf{O}}_2$ reservoir (Ambu Bag)
 - Age and size appropriate suction apparatus and catheter(s)
 - One 10 mL syringe to inflate the ETT balloon (for a cuffed ETT) after tube placement
 - Proper size advanced airway (e.g., ETT, oral/nasal airway, LMA)
 - Proper size stylette
 - Functioning laryngoscope and appropriate size blade
 - Secondary confirmation device (capnograph, colorimetric, carbon dioxide [CO₂] detector)
 - Tape or other device to secure ETT
 - Equipment for IV access if there is not a patent IV in place
 - Functioning flowmeter with adequate oxygen supply
- Emergency cart

Procedure

All team members participating in the procedure must use Universal Protocol prior to the start of invasive procedures

Pharmacological interventions

- Administer sedation and/or analgesia appropriate for clinical condition and procedure (e.g., pain related to fracture, laceration). See Table 5.
- Initiate Procedural Pain Protocol (e.g., PIV, venipuncture, port-a-cath, IM, arterial, AV Graft/AV Fistula access

Analgesia for Specific Procedures

- Ins-till 2% lidocaine topical into the urethra before urinary catheterization for patients >2 years old. (75-78)
- Use IV morphine for pain reduction for extremity fractures.

- Oral Sucrose per the Procedural Pain Protocol is an effective agent in reducing the pain response in infants ≤3 months who are undergoing minor acute painful procedures.
- Topical adjuncts (i.e. cooling spray, Pain Ease®) and/or local anesthetics to decrease pain for any type of needle puncture.

Administration of Intranasal Medications

- In order to ensure the most effective drug administration, all intranasal medications for sedation should be administered using an atomization device. The atomization device will be dispensed by the pharmacy department with all intranasal medications for sedation.
- The total volume of each dose should be equally divided between both nares, with a max volume of 1 mL per nare.
 The pharmacy will overfill the syringe by 0.1 mL to account for the dead space in the atomizer. Do not add an additional air pocket in the syringe.
- Below are brief instructions on the use of atomization devices to deliver intranasal medications.
 - While holding the patient's head stable, place the tip of the atomization device into the nostril aiming up and out toward the top of the ear.
 - o Compress the plunger to deliver half of the medication.
 - Repeat this process in the opposite nostril to administer the remainder of the medication.

Monitoring and Documentation during the Procedure (3-6,109-113,165)

- A minimum of two health care providers must be present throughout the procedure: typically, one performing the procedure and one administering medication and directly and continuously monitoring the patient.
- Prior to minimal, moderate, and deep sedation, documentation will include a baseline Modified Aldrete Score for patients expected to recover in the post anesthesia care unit (see Table 4) and a baseline physiological assessment for all patients including: (3-6,104-108,153)
 - o Heart rate
 - o Blood pressure
 - o Respiratory rate
 - o Skin color
 - o O₂ saturation

Minimal sedation: (3-6,165)

- Obtain IV access if necessary
- Monitor patient continuously on pulse oximetry during the procedure.
- Document the following items:
 - HR, pulse oximetry O₂ saturations and level of sedation at least every 15 minutes
 - HR, RR, BP, and pulse oximetry O₂ saturation pre- and post-procedure.

Moderate sedation: (3-6,109-113,165)

- Obtain and maintain IV access if sedation is given via the IV route
- If sedation is given by a non-IV route, practitioner should decide if an IV is needed on a case-by-case basis. If an IV is not placed, an individual with skills to establish IV access should be immediately available.
- Monitor continuously and document the following items every 5-10 min:
 - o level of sedation
 - status of the procedure (e.g., procedure not yet started, procedure in progress, and procedure completed)
 - physiological status including HR, RR, BP, and pulse oximetry O₂ saturation

- Capnography to measure ETCO₂ to assess ventilation (Other methods to monitor ventilation may be used in the neonatal population)
- Monitor EKG rhythm in patients with significant cardiovascular disease or patients at increased risk of dysrhythmias during the procedure.
- Capnography or pretracheal/precordial stethoscope should be used to monitor ventilation during moderate sedation.
 Capnography may not be feasible with the use of nitrous oxide. If excessive patient agitation/lack of cooperation or procedure-related factors prohibit use of capnography or pretracheal/precordial stethescopes, this situation should be documented. (Other methods to monitor ventilation may be used in the neonatal population)
- If blood pressure monitoring interferes with sedation or procedure, document as such and clinically monitor patient.
- Consider supplemental oxygen unless specifically contraindicated for a particular patient or procedure.
- In adult patients, if the maximum cumulative dose of medication has been administered and the patient has not reached the desired level of sedation, stop the procedure and call the Anesthesia GOAT. For adult patients in the PFW, notify the PFW Pre-Assessment Testing Area for an anesthesiologist consultation.

Deep Sedation: (3-6,109-113,165)

- Obtain and maintain IV access
- Monitor continuously and document the following items every 5 min:
 - o Level of sedation
 - Physiological status including HR, RR, BP, and pulse oximetry O₂ saturation
 - o Capnography to measure ETCO₂ to assess ventilation
 - o EKG rhythm
- Capnography or pretracheal/precordial stethoscope should be used to monitor ventilation during deep sedation.
 Capnography may not be feasible with the use of nitrous oxide. If excessive patient agitation/lack of cooperation or procedure-related factors prohibit use of capnography or pretracheal/precordial stethescopes, this situation should be documented.
- If blood pressure monitoring interferes with sedation or procedure, document as such and clinically monitor patient.
- Administer supplemental oxygen unless specifically contraindicated for a particular patient or procedure.
- The sedating provider must be a credentialed physician to provide deep sedation.
- Note the use of propofol is restricted to Anesthesiology and physicians who have secondary appointment under Pediatric Anesthesiology.

Post-procedure Recovery (5)

Physiological status will be continuously monitored and documented every 5 to 15 minutes after last medication administration until the patient meets discharge criteria with the exception of recovery from administration of ≤50% nitrous oxide alone.

Discharge Criteria (3,165,166)

The following must be achieved and maintained prior to discharge or transfer:

- A patent airway without respiratory depression
- Return to baseline vital signs
- Return to baseline motor function
- · Return to baseline level of consciousness
- · Adequate hydration, absence of nausea and vomiting

- Adequate pain control
- Discharge or transfer may occur 30 minutes after final medication administration if all discharge criteria are met.
- Modified Aldrete Score at discharge must have returned to baseline (pre-sedation) level.
- Full term infants less than 1 month old or premature infants less than 52 weeks post conceptual age will be observed for minimum of 12 apnea free hours following the administration of sedation.

Comfort

- Administer analgesia appropriate for clinical findings
- Administer ondansetron if indicated, to decrease and prevent medication related nausea/vomiting (88)
- Discharge readiness after sedation will be measured by utilizing the Modified Aldrete Scoring System (167)

	Modified Aldrete Scoring System	Sedation Score
	Able to move 4 extremities	2
Activity	Able to move 2 extremities	1
	Able to move 0	0
	Regular, able to deep breathe/cough freely	2
Respiration	Dyspnea, limited & obstructed breathing	1
	Apneic	0
	B/P +/-0-20 mmHG pre procedure level	2
Circulation	B/P +/-0-25 mmHG pre procedure level	1
Circulation	B/P +/- greater than 25 mmHG pre procedure level	0
	Fully awake	2
Level of Consciousness	Arousable on calling	1
	Not responding	0
	Able to maintain O_2 saturation > 92% on room air	2
O₂ Saturation	Needs O_2 inhalation to maintain O_2 saturation >90%	1
	O ₂ saturation <90% even with O ₂ supplementation	0

Special Considerations

Reversal Agents (3,168)

- Naloxone (Narcan) and/or flumazenil (Romazicon) may be needed to reverse the adverse effects of opioids or benzodiazepines
- Before using reversal agents, stimulate patient to deep breathe, give blow by oxygen and if necessary provide positive pressure bag and mask ventilation if spontaneous ventilation is inadequate or if oxygen saturation remains below 92%
- If naloxone (Narcan) or flumazenil (Romazicon) is administered, monitoring will continue for an additional 2 hours

Procedural Sedation for Neonates

- The monitoring for minimal, moderate and deep sedation for neonates will be according to the policy with the following changes:
 - Capnography will not be recommended in the neonatal population for monitoring during and after procedural sedation. The adequacy of ventilation will be monitored by clinical signs and symptoms, auscultation, chest movement, blood gases or x-ray as deemed necessary by the clinician.
- For analgesia during procedures on neonates, acetaminophen, ibuprofen, fentanyl and morphine can be considered.

Measures

Outcome

- Depth of sedation (i.e., minimal, moderate, deep)
- # of patients receiving mild/moderate/deep sedation
- # of patients at-risk for sedation identified
- Appropriate type/dose of moderate sedation agents (i.e., midazolam and fentanyl)
- Incidence/Type/Venue of reversal agent(s) administration
- Incidence/Type/Venue of adverse events
- Failed sedation

Process

- Appropriate level of sedation administered based on the type of procedure, clinical characteristics
- # of Anesthesiology consults for at-risk patients
- Utilization of clinical guideline for painful procedure
- # of cases per unit (EC, Acute Care)
- # of procedures delayed due to NPO status
- # of Child Life consults

Table 5. Medications for Procedural Sedation ⁽¹⁾
Practitioner should seek the assistance of an Anesthesiologist if the patient has received the max cumulative dose without achieving the desired level of sedation.
Practitioner should be aware that the combination of sedatives and analgesics could result in an increased level of sedation. Use of more than one sedative or analgesic is never minimal sedation.

Medications for Sedation						
Drug (Route) Onset [0]	Dosing Common Adverse Minimal Sodation Doop Sodation Reactions		Adverse Comments		Cost†	
Duration [Dur]	Minimal Sedation ¹	Moderate Sedation ¹	Deep Sedation ²	Reactions		
		Sedative (hy ONLY Infants prior to PFT	pnotics)		I	
Chloral hydrate (Oral) O: 15-60 min Dur: 60-120 min	N/A	testing: initial dose: 80 mg/kg/dose ONCE; an additional dose of 20 mg/kg/DOSE may be given in 30 minutes if adequate sedation is not achieved after the first dose; MAX cum dose 100 mg/kg or 1000 mg	N/A	Gastric mucosal irritation, N/V, H/A	Effects unreliable in age > 3 yrs. Contraindicated in severe cardiac disease, renal/hepatic failure. Restricted to sedation for infant pulmonary function testing.	\$
Dexmedetomidine (IV) O: 5-10 min Dur: 60-120 min	N/A	Children Bolus (Give over 10 min): 2-3 mcg/kg once Infusion: 1-2 mcg/kg/h MAX dose: 2 mcg/kg/h Adults: Bolus (Give over 10 min): 0.5-1 mcg/kg Infusion: 0.2-1 mcg/kg/hr MAX dose: 1.5 mcg/kg/hr	Contact Anesthesiologist ³	↓HR, ↓BP atrial fibrillation	Relative contraindication with heart block, severe renal or hepatic impairment, or concomitant use of beta blockers. Use restricted to procedural sedation (per EBOC guideline) and MIBG administration and the use in following areas: TCH and PFW OR and critical care areas.	\$\$
Dexmedetomidine (IN)* O: 15-25 min Dur:~ 85 min	Children ≥1 months of age: 1 - 2 mcg/kg/dose once MAX 200 mcg (100 mcg per nare)	Children ≥1 months of age: 3 - 4 mcg/kg/dose MAX 200 mcg (100 mcg per nare); an additional dose of 1 mcg/kg may be administered in 30 minutes if necessary; MAX cumulative dose 4 mcg/kg	N/A	↓HR, ↓BP	Relative contraindication with heart block, severe renal or hepatic impairment, or concomitant use of beta blockers.	\$\$
Diazepam (IV) O: 1-3 min Dur: 20-120 min	Children and Adults: 0.04-0.1 mg/kg/dose MAX single dose: 10 mg	Children and Adults: 0.04- 0.2 mg/kg/dose MAX cum.dose:0.6 mg/kg or 10 mg	Contact Anesthesiologist ³	Thrombophlebitis, ↓BP, ↓HR, respiratory depression	Reduce dose when used in combination with opioids.	\$\$
Etomidate (IV) O: < 1 min Dur: 5-15 min	N/A	Children > 10 yrs and Adults:0.1-0.3 mg/kg/dose Repeat doses may be needed	Contact Anesthesiologist ³	Respiratory depression, myoclonus, N/V, adrenal suppression	Avoid use if suspect patient is septic. Physician must be present for administration	\$\$
LORazepam (IV) O: 15-20 min Dur: 8-12 h	Infants and Children <12 yrs: 0.01-0.03 mg/kg/dose MAX cum. dose: 2 mg Children ≥ 12 yrs or >50 kg:0.05 mg/kg/dose Adults: 1-2 mg/dose MAX cum. dose: 4 mg	N/A	Contact Anesthesiologist ³	Respiratory depression, blurred vision, hallucinations, restlessness	Reduce dose when used in combination w/ opioids. Use with caution in patients with renal/liver impairment.	\$
Midazolam (IV) O: 2-3 min Dur: 60 min	Neonates: 0.05 mg/kg/dose Infants and Children: 0.05- 0.1 mg/kg/dose Adults: 1-2 mg/dose MAX single dose: 5 mg	Infants and Children 0.05-0.1 mg/kg/dose, dose may be repeated once in 2-3 minutes if needed. Adults: 2-4 mg/dose MAX cum. dose: 10 mg	Contact Anesthesiologist ³			
Midazolam (Intranasal)^ O: 10-15 min Dur: 45-60 min	Neonates: 0.2 mg/kg/dose Infants, Children, and Adults: 0.2 – 0.4 mg/kg/dose MAX dose: 10 mg (5 mg per nare)	Infants, Children, and Adults: 0.5 mg/kg/dose MAX dose: 10 mg (5 mg per nare)	Contact Anesthesiologist ³	Respiratory depression, blurred vision, H/A, N/V	Reduce dose when used in combination w/ opioids. May produce paradoxical excitement.	\$
Midazolam (Oral) O: 15-30 min Dur: 60-90 min	Neonates: 0.5 mg/kg/dose Infants, Children and Adults: 0.25-0.5 mg/kg once MAX single dose: 20 mg	Infants, Children and Adults: 0.25-0.5 mg/kg/dose, may give additional dose once after 20-30 minutes if necessary MAX cum. dose: 20 mg	Contact Anesthesiologist ³			
Nitrous Oxide (INH)* O: 2-5 min Dur: 3-5 min after discontinuation of continuous administration	Children > 1 year: ≤50% N ₂ O	Children > 1 year: 51-70% N ₂ O; any concentration combined with other sedative/analgesic medications except local anesthesia	N/A	Nausea/vomiting, Headache, dizziness, confusion, CNS excitation,	Continuous administration required. Maximum duration of administration 30 minutes	\$\$
PENTObarbital (IV) O: 1-5 min Dur: 15-45 min	N/A	Infants ≥ 6 months and Children: 1-3 mg/kg/dose MAX cum. dose: 6 mg/kg or 200 mg, whichever is less	Contact Anesthesiologist ³	↓HR, ↓BP, thrombophlebitis, laryngospasm, respiratory depression	May produce paradoxical excitement. Avoid in patients w/ porphyria.	\$\$\$\$

								February 2023
PENTObarbital (Oral) O: 20 min Dur: 30-90 min	N/A	Infants and Children: 4mg/kg initial dose; may repeat dose 2mg/kg if needed MAX cum. dose: 6mg/kg or 200mg whichever is less	N	J/A	↓HR, ↓BP, thrombophle laryngospas respiratory depression	m,	May produce paradoxical excitement. Avoid in patients w/porphyria.	\$\$\$\$
Propofol (IV) O: < 1 min Dur: 5-15 min	N/A	N/A	Bolus: 0. mg/kg/do: Infusion: mcg/kg/m MAX: 200 mcg/kg/m	se : 50-100 nin 0	↓BP, respira depression, site pain	itory injection	Avoid in patients w/ egg or soy allergies. Highly lipophilic. Physician must be present fo administration. Note: Restricted to Anesthesiology an Physicians with deep sedation privileges	\$
	I	Medications for	Analgesia	a		1		
Drug (Route) Onset [O] Duration [Dur]		Dosing		Ad	mmon verse ictions	verse Comments		Cost†
	!	Analgesi	ics	,		,		
FentanyI** (IV) O: 1-5 min 30-60 min	Neonates: 1 mcg/kg/dose administered over 5 mins Infants and Children < 12 yrs: 1-2 mcg/kg/dose, may repeat in 5 min if needed MAX cum. dose: 50 mcg Children ≥ 12 yrs and < 50 kg: 0.5-1 mcg/kg/dose or 25-50 mcg/dose, may repeat in 5 min if needed MAX cum. dose: 100 mcg Children ≥ 50 kg and Adults: 0.5-1 mcg/kg/dose or 50-75 mcg/dose, may repeat in 5 min if needed		Respirato depressio ↓BP, ↓HR delirium				\$	
Fentanyl (IN)^ O: 7-20 min Dur: ~60 min	MAX cum. dose: 300 mcg Nasal irritation, respiratory depression, apnea, ↓BP, ↓HR, seizures, delirium PR, Seizures,		se when combined w/ epines. Use lowest dose in	\$				
Morphine (IV) O: 5-10 min Dur: 120-300 min	Neonates: 0.01 to 0.1 mg/kg/dose MAX cum. dose: 0.1 mg/kg Infants and Children: 0.1-0.2 mg/kg/dose Adults: 2-4 mg/DOSE MAX Infants: 2 mg/dose; Children 1-6 years: 4 mg/dose; Children ≥7 years and adolescents: 6 mg/dose Adults: Max cum. dose: 10 mg		delirium	n, apnea, , seizures,	Reduce dose when used in combination w/ benzodiazepines. Use lowest dose in opioid naïve patients.		\$	
. .	Dis	sociative – Moderate Seda	tion Moni	toring Ne	eded	1		
Drug (Route) Onset [O] (min) Duration [Dur] (min)	Dosing		Ad	mmon verse ections	Comments		Cost [†]	
Ketamine (IV) O: 1 min Dur: 5-10 min	Children: 1-2 mg/kg/dose administered over 60 seconds, an additional dose of 0.5-1 mg/kg/dose may be administered if necessary Adults: 1-2 mg/kg/dose administered over 60 seconds, an additional dose of 0.5-1 mg/kg/dose may be administered if necessary			at injection obstruction laryngosp	CP, N/V, pain n site, airway n,	known/susp must be pro ketamine for patients wi	ated in children < 3 months or ected psychosis. Provider esent for administration of or procedural sedation for thout an advanced airway chanically ventilated in a e setting.	\$
Ketamine (IM) O: 3-4 min Dur: 12-25 min	Children and Adults: 3-5 mg/kg/dose once		Dizziness unreality, nausea/vo changes i mood cha taste	n hearing,	known/susp must be pro ketamine for patients wi	ated in children < 3 months or ected psychosis. Provider essent for administration of or procedural sedation for thout an advanced airway chanically ventilated in a e setting.	\$	
Ketamine (IN)^ O: 10-15 min Dur: up to 60 min	Children ≥ 2 yrs and Adults: 0.5-0.8 mg/kg/dose; May give second dose in 10-15 min if needed: 0.5 mg/kg/dose once MAX cum. dose:100 mg (50 mg per nare)		unreality, nausea/vo	n hearing,	administrat procedural an advance	ust be present for tion of ketamine for sedation for patients without ed airway and/or Illy ventilated in a critical care	\$	
		Medications	for Rever	sal of Sed	lation			
Drug: Indication Onset [O] Duration [Dur]	Dosing Adverse Reactions Comment Reversal Agent for Benzodiazepines				nts			
Flumazenil: Benzodiazepine (i.e., Diazepam, Lorazepam, Midazolam) reversal O: 1-3 min Dur: < 60 min	Neonates, Infants and Children: Initial dose: 0.01 mg/kg (MAX: 0.2 mg). needed, repeat 30-45 sec after initial dose, then every 1 min MAX cum. dose: 0.05 mg/kg or 1 mg whichever is less Adults: Initial dose: 0.2 mg. If needed, repeat 30-45 sec after initial dose, 1 every 1 min MAX cum. dose: 1 mg		If	N/V, dizziness, blurred vision, on hyperventilation pain at injection	dyspnea, n, vasodilatio	Administer through a free intravenous infusion into minimize pain at injection	a large vein to	
Naloxone: Opioid (i.e., Fentanyl, Morphine) reversal O: 2 min Dur: 30-120 min	Reversal Agent for Opioids Birth to 5 years or < 20 kg: Partial Reversal: 0.001 – 0.02 mg/kg. If needed, repeat every 2-3 min Full Reversal: initial dose: 0.1 mg/kg. If needed, repeat every 2-3 min S 5 years or > 20 kg and Adults: Half-life shorter than need repeated dose			need repeated doses eve Continuous infusions may	ry 20-60 min. be required.			

[&]quot;Neonates/Infants: Refer to the Neonatal Medications for Sedation table for more information on analgesia for painful procedures in neonates. A Refer to administration instructions on page 5 for intranasal medications. See the Nitrous Oxide Administration Protocol for additional information on this medication.

Practitioner should seek the assistance of an anesthesiologist if the patient has received the max cumulative dose without achieving the desired level of sedation. The practitioner should call the Anesthesia GOAT to determine the availability of an anesthesiologist. If an anesthesiologist is not available, the procedure should be aborted and rescheduled with general anesthesia. For adult patients in the PFW, notify the PFW Pre-Assessment Testing Area for an anesthesiologist consultation.

*Medication Cost: \$ - <\$10; \$\$ - \$10-100; \$\$\$ - >\$100-1000; \$\$\$\$ - >\$100-1000; \$\$\$\$\$\$ - >\$1000-1000;

Table 6. Developmental Understanding of Pain

PREOPERATIONAL THOUGHT (2-7 YR)

Relates to pain primarily as physical, concrete experience
Thinks in terms of magical disappearance of pain
May view pain as punishment for wrongdoing
Tends to hold someone accountable for own pain and may strike out at person

CONCRETE OPERATIONAL THOUGHT (7-12 YR)

Relates to pain physically (e.g., headache, stomachache)
Is able to perceive psychological pain (e.g., someone dying)
Fears bodily harm and annihilation (body destruction and death)
May view pain as punishment for wrongdoing

FORMAL OPERATIONAL THOUGHT(≥ 12 YR)

Is able to give reason for pain (e.g., fell and hit nerve)
Perceives several types of psychological pain
Has limited life experiences to cope with pain as adult might cope despite mature understanding of pain
Fears losing control during painful experience

Table 7. Developmentally Appropriate Non-Pharmacologic Techniques for Children

Age Range	Techniques
Infants (0-12 months)	Parent's voice (e.g., talking, singing on tape), touching (e.g., holding and rocking), pacifier, music, swaddling, massage
Toddlers (12-36 months)	Same as infants in addition to: Pinwheels, storytelling, peek-a-boo, busy box
Preschoolers (3-5 years)	Pinwheels, party blowers, feathers, pop-up books, storytelling, comfort item, music, singing, manipulatives
School-agers (6-12 years)	Electronic toys (e.g., Nintendo DS, PSP, iPod), pop-up books, I Spy books, participation in procedure, imagery, storytelling, breathing techniques, muscle relaxation
Adolescents (13-18 years)	Music, comedy tapes, imagery, massage, muscle relaxation, TV, video, other electronics

Table 8. Description of Specific Cognitive-Behavioral Interventions (CBI) for Children

Distraction

- · Involve child in play; use radio, tape recorder, CD player, or computer game; have child sing or use rhythmic breathing.
- Have child take a deep breath and blow it out until told to stop.
- Have child blow pinwheel to "blow the hurt away."
- Have child concentrate on yelling or saying "ouch," with instructions to "yell as loud or soft as you feel it hurt; that way I know what's happening."
- Have child look through kaleidoscope (type with glitter suspended in fluid-filled tube) and encourage him or her to concentrate by asking,
 "Do you see the different designs?"
- Use humor, such as watching cartoons, telling jokes or funny stories, or acting silly with child.
- Have child read, play games, or visit with friends.

Relaxation

With an infant or young child:

- Hold in a comfortable, well-supported position, such as vertically against the chest and shoulder.
- · Rock in a wide, rhythmic arc in a rocking chair or sway back and forth, rather than bouncing child.
- Repeat one or two words softly, such as "Mommy's here."

With a slightly older child:

- Ask child to take a deep breath and "go limp as a rag doll" while exhaling slowly; then ask child to yawn (demonstrate if needed).
- Help child assume a comfortable position (e.g., pillow under neck and knees).
- Begin progressive relaxation: starting with the toes, systematically instruct child to let each body part "go limp" or "feel heavy"; if child has difficulty relaxing, instruct child to tense or tighten each body part and then relax it.
- Allow child to keep eyes open, since children may respond better if eyes are open rather than closed during relaxation.

Guided Imagery

- Have child identify some highly pleasurable real or imaginary experience.
- Have child describe details of the event, including as many senses as possible (e.g., "feel the cool breezes", "see the beautiful colors", "hear the pleasant music").
- Have child write down or tape record script.
- Encourage child to concentrate only on the pleasurable event during the painful time; enhance the image by recalling specific details through reading the script or playing the tape.
- Combine with relaxation and rhythmic breathing.

Thought Stopping

- Identify positive facts about the painful event (e.g., "It does not last long").
- Identify reassuring information (e.g., "If I think about something else, it does not hurt as much").
- Condense positive and reassuring facts into a set of brief statements and have child memorize them (e.g., "Short procedure, good veins, little hurt, nice nurse, go home").
- Have child repeat the memorized statements whenever thinking about or experiencing the painful event.

Behavioral Contracting

Informal—May be used with children as young as 4 or 5 years of age:

- Use stars, tokens, or cartoon character stickers as rewards.
- Give a child who is uncooperative or procrastinating during a procedure a limited time (measured by a visible timer) to complete the procedure.
- Proceed as needed if child is unable to comply.
- Reinforce cooperation with a reward if the procedure is accomplished within specified time.

Formal—Use written contract, which includes:

- Realistic (seems possible) goal or desired behavior
- Measurable behavior (e.g., agrees not to hit anyone during procedures)
- Contract written, dated, and signed by all persons involved in any of the agreements
- Identified rewards or consequences that are reinforcing
- Goals that can be evaluated
- Commitment and compromise requirements for both parties (e.g., while timer is used, nurse will not nag or prod child to complete
 procedure)

Patients Presenting to the Emergency Center requiring an Emergent or Urgent Procedure

Patients presenting to the Emergency Center may require procedures that need to be performed as soon as possible. Table 9 outlines the types of procedures and their minimal fasting guidelines.

Note: Patients receiving minimal sedation do not require fasting. Patients receiving 30-70% nitrous oxide without additional sedatives or narcotics for procedural sedation outside the operating room should not have fasting requirements prior to the procedure.


All other procedure-related practice recommendations included in the clinical guideline should be followed as clinically indicated regardless of the degree of procedural urgency.

Table 9. Degree of Procedural Urgency in the Emergency Center (101)

Procedure Type	Patient Risk Factors	Recommended Fasting	
 Emergent Procedures Cardioversion for life-threatening dysrhythmia Reduction of a markedly angulated fracture or dislocation with soft tissue or neurovascular compromise Chest tube placement for tension pneumothorax Intractable pain or suffering Testicular torsion Paraphimosis reduction Reduction of an incarcerated hernia Penile zipper injury Neuroimaging for trauma/cord compression/sudden blindness/suspected stroke Intubation Laceration requiring an emergent repair for vascular control 	All patients included	No delay in sedation based upon fasting times	
		Ingested Food	Minimum Fasting Period (in hours)
		Clear liquids	0
Urgent Procedures Care of wounds and lacerations Animal and human bites Abscess I&D	Patients with NO Risk Factors listed below	Breast Milk, Infant Formula, Non-Human milk	0
Fracture reductionJoint dislocation		Light or Heavy Meal	0
 LP Chest tube placement 	Patients with Risk Factors for Aspiration	Ingested Food	Minimum Fasting Period (in hours)
ThoracocentesisArthrocentesisNeck imaging	Severe systemic diseaseModerate to severe obesityObstructive sleep apnea	Clear liquids	0
Oropharyngeal foreign body removal	Airway abnormalitiesAge 12 months or lessHiatal Hernia	Breast Milk, Infant Formula, Non-Human milk	3
	Hyperemesisesophageal disordersBowel obstruction	Light or Heavy Meal	3

[^]Patients requiring minimal sedation do not require fasting. Patients receiving 30-70% nitrous oxide without additional sedatives or narcotics for procedural sedation outside the operating room should not have fasting requirements prior to the procedure.

TCH Evidence-Based Outcomes Center Fasting Algorithm for Patients Requiring a Procedure



	Moderate and Deep Sedation Fasting Guidelines*					
	N	linimum Fasting Period				
	Child	Adult				
Ingested Food	Non-urgent/ Semi-urgent Urgent Procedures Outside the EC	Non-urgent/ Semi-urgent Urgent Procedures Outside the EC	EC Urgent Procedure Risk Factors* Present	EC Urgent Procedure NO Risk Factors [¥]		
Clear Liquids	1 hour	2 hours	0 hours			
Breast Milk	4 hours	4 hours	3 hours			
Infant Formula	6 hours	6 hours	3 hours	Sedation should not		
Non-Human Milk	6 hours	6 hours	3 hours	be withheld for fasting		
Light Snack (plain toast/clear)	6 hours	6 hours	3 hours	times		
Heavy Snack (Fried/ Fatty Foods)	8 hours	8 hours	3 hours			

*Risk factors for aspiration include severe systemic disease, moderate to severe obesity, obstructive sleep apnea, airway abnormalities, age 12 months or less, hiatal hernia, hyperemesis, esophageal disorders, and bowel obstruction. Procedures that are high risk for aspiration include bronchoscopy, upper endoscopy, propofol principle sedative, and/or anticipated need for assisted ventilation or other airway management. *Patients receiving 30-70% nitrous oxide without additional sedatives or narcotics for procedural sedation outside the operating room should not

have fasting requirements prior to the procedure

Clinical standards are developed for 80% of the patient population with a particular disease. Each practitioner must use his/her clinical judgment in the management of any specific patient.

References

- 1. Texas Children's Hospital Drug Information and Formulary. 9th ed. Hudson (OH): Lexi-Comp; 2007.
- American Academy of Pediatrics. (2001). The assessment and management of acute pain in infants, children, and adolescents. Retrieved from http://pediatrics.aappublications.org/content/108/3/793.
- AAP (American Association of Pediatrics), Coté, C. J., & Wilson, S. (2006). Guidelines for monitoring and management of pediatric patients during and after sedation for diagnostic and therapeutic procedures: An update. Pediatrics, 118(6), 2587(2516).
- 4. AAP (American Association of Pediatrics), Coté, C. J., Wilson, S., & Work Group on Sedation. (2008). Guidelines for monitoring and management of pediatric patients during and after sedation for diagnostic and therapeutic procedures: An update. *Pediatric Anesthesia*, 18(1), 9-10.
- 5. Cote, C., Wilson, S., American Academy of Pediatrics, American Academy of Pediatric Dentistry. (2016). Guidelines for monitoring and management of pediatric patients before, during and after sedation for diagnostic and therapeutic procedures: Update 2016. *Pediatrics, 138*(1), e1-e31.
- American Association of Anesthesiologists (ASA). (2002). Practice guidelines for sedation and analgesia by non-anesthesiologist. Anesthesiology, 96(4), 1004-1017.
- 7. Meredith, J. R., O'Keefe, K. P., & Galwankar, S. (2008). Pediatric procedural sedation and analgesia. *Journal of Emergencies, Trauma, and Shock,* 1(2), 88-96.
- 8. Cravero, J. P., Beach, M. L., Blike, G. T., Gallagher, S. M., Hertzog, J. H., & Consortium, P. S. R. (2009). The incidence and nature of adverse events during pediatric sedation/anesthesia with propofol for procedures outside the operating room: A report from the pediatric sedation research consortium. *Anesthesia & Analgesia*, 108(3), 795-804.
- 9. Baxter, A. L., Mallory, M. D., Spandorfer, P. R., Sharma, S., Freilich, S. H., & Cravero, J. (2007). Etomidate versus pentobarbital for computed tomography sedations. *Pediatric Emergency Care*, 23(10), 690-695.
- 10. Kienstra, A. J., Ward, M. A., Sasan, F., Hunter, J., Morriss, M. C., & Macias, C. (2004). Etomidate versus pentobarbital for sedation of children for head and neck ct imaging. *Pediatric Emergency Care, 20*(8), 499-506.
- 11. Di Liddo, L., D'Angelo, A., Nguyen, B., Bailey, B., Amre, D., & Stanciu, C. (2006). Etomidate versus midazolam for procedural sedation in pediatric outpatients: A randomized controlled trial. *Annals of Emergency Medicine*, 48(4), 433-440.
- 12. Green, S. M., Roback, M. G., Krauss, B., Brown, L., McGlone, Ř. G., Agrawal, D., et al. (2009). Predictors of emesis and recovery agitation with emergency department ketamine sedation: An individual-patient data meta-analysis of 8,282 children. *Annals of Emergency Medicine*, *54*(2), 171-180.e174.
- 13. Green, S. M., Roback, M. G., Krauss, B., Brown, L., McGlone, R. G., Agrawal, D., et al. (2009). Predictors of airway and respiratory adverse events with ketamine sedation in the emergency department: An individual-patient data meta-analysis of 8,282 children. *Annals of Emergency Medicine*, 54(2), 158-168.e154.
- 14. McQueen, A., Wright, R. O., Kido, M. M., Kaye, E., & Krauss, B. (2009). Procedural sedation and analgesia outcomes in children after discharge from the emergency department: Ketamine versus fentanyl/midazolam. *Annals of Emergency Medicine*, *54*(2), 191-197.e194.
- 15. Phan, H., & Nahata, M. C. (2008). Clinical uses of dexmedetomidine in pediatric patients. Pediatric Drugs, 10(1), 49.
- 16. Mason, K. P., Zgleszewski, S. E., Prescilla, R., Fontaine, P. J., & Zurakowski, D. (2008). Hemodynamic effects of dexmedetomidine sedation for ct imaging studies. *Pediatric Anesthesia*, 18(5), 393-402.
- 17. Mason, K. P., Zurakowski, D., Zgleszewski, S. E., Robson, C. D., Carrier, M., Hickey, P. R., et al. (2008). High dose dexmedetomidine as the sole sedative for pediatric MRI. *Pediatric Anesthesia*, 18(5), 403-411.
- 18. Cao, Q., Lin, Y., Xie, Z., Shen, W., Chen, Y., Gan, X., & Liu, Y. (2017). Comparison of sedation by intranasal dexmedetomidine and oral chloral hydrate for pediatric ophthalmic examination. Pediatric Anesthesia, 27, 629-636.
- 19. Miller, J., Xue, B., Hossain, M., Zhang, M. Z., Loepke, A., & Kurth, D. (2016). Comparison of dexmedetomidine and chloral hydrate sedation for transthoracic echocardiography in infants and toddlers: a randomized clinical trial. *Pediatric Anesthesia*.26(3), 266-272.
- 20. Reynolds, J., Rogers, A., Medellin, E., Guzman, J., & Watcha, M. (2016). A prospective, randomized, doublé-blind trial of intranasal dexmedetomidine and oral chloral hydrate for sedated auditory brainstem response (ABR) testing. Pediatric Anesthesia, 26, 286-293.
- 21. Reynolds, J., Rogers, A., Capehart, S., Manyang, P., & Watcha, M. (2016). Retrospective comparison of intranasal dexmedetomidine and oral chloral hydrate for sedated auditory brainstem response exams. Hospital Pediatrics, 6(3), 166-171.
- Yuen, V., Li, B., Cheuk, D., Leung, M., Hui, T., et al. (2017). A randomized controlled trial of oral chloral hydrate vs. intranasal dexmedetomidine before computerized tomography in children. Anaesthesia, 72, 1191-1195.
- 23. Gan, X., Lin, H., Chen, J., Lin, Y., & Chen, W. (2016). Rescue sedation with intranasal dexmedetomidine for pediatric ophthalmic examination after chloral hydrate failure: A randomized, controlled trial. Clinical Therapeutics, 38(6), 1522-1529.
- 24. Li, B., Yuen, M., Song, X., Ye, J., Ni, J., et al. (2014). Intranasal dexmedetomidine following failed chloral hydrate sedation in children. Anaesthesia, 69, 240-244.
- 25. Zhang, W., Wang, Z., Song, X., Fan, Y., Tian, H., & Li, B. (2016). Comparison of rescue techniques for failed chloral hydrate sedation for magnetic resonance imaging scans—additional chloral hydrate vs intranasal dexmedetomidine. Pediatric Anesthesia, 26, 273-279.
- 26. Zhang, W., Fan, Y., Zhao, T., Chen, J., Zhang, G., & Song, X. (2016). Median effective dose of intranasal dexmedetomidine for rescue sedation in pediatric patients undergoing magnetic resonance imaging. Anesthesiology, 125(6), 1130-1135.
- 27. Ambi, U., Joshi, C., Ganeshnavar, A., & Adarsh, E. (2012). Intranasal dexmedetomidine for paediatric sedation for diagnostic magnetic resonance imaging studies. *Indian Journal of Anaesthesia*, 56(6), 587-588.
- 28. Baier, N., Mendez, S., Kimm, D., Velazquez, A., & Schroeder, A. (2016). Intranasal dexmedetomidine: An effective sedative agent for electroencephalogram and auditory brain stem response testing. Pediatric Anestesia, 26, 280-285.
- 29. Bua, J., Massaro, M., Cossovel, F., Monasta, L., Brovedani, P., et al. (2018). Intranasal dexmedetomidine, as midazolam-sparing drug, for MRI in preterm neonates. Paediatric Anaesthesia, 28(8), 747-748.
- 30. Filho, E., Robinson, F., Carvalho, W., Gilio, A., & Mason, K. (2015). Intranasal dexmedetomidine for sedation for pediatric computed tomography imaging. The Journal of Pediatrics, 166, 1313-1315.
- 31. Li, B., Ni, J., Huang, J., Zhang, Z., Song, X., et al. (2015). Intranasal dexmedetomidine for sedation in children undergoing transthoracic echocardiography study--a prospective observational study. Pediatric Anesthesia, 25, 891-896.
- 32. Miller, J., Divanovic, A., Hossain, M., Mahmoud, M., & Loepke, A. (2016). Dosing and efficacy of intranasal dexmedetomidine sedation for pediatric transthoracic echocardiography: A retrospective study. Can J Anesth, 63, 834-841.
- 33. Yu, Q., Liu, Y., Sun, M., Žhang, J., Zhao, Y., et al. (2017). Median effective dose of intranasal dexmedetomidine sedation for transthoracic echocardiography in pediatric patients with noncyanotic congenital heart disease: An up-and-down sequential allocation trial. Pediatric Anesthesia, 27, 1108-1114.
- 34. Callahan, P., Pinto, S., Kurland, G., Cain, J., Motoyama, E., et al. (2015). Dexmedetomidine for infant pulmonary function testing. Pediatric Pulmonology, 50, 150-154.
- 35. Davis, S., Rosenfeld, M., Kerby, G., Brumback, L., Kloster, M., et al. (2010). Multicenter evaluation of infant lung function tests as cystic fibrosis clinical trial endpoints. Am J Respir Crit Care Med, 182, 1387-1397.
- 36. Waldalsen, G., Lanza, F., Nogueiria, M., & Sole, D. (2016). Efficacy and safety of chloral hydrate sedation in infants for pulmonary function tests. Rev Paul Pediatr, 34(4), 408-411.

- 37. Chiaretti, A., Barone, G., Rigante, D., Ruggiero, A., Pierri, F., Barbi, E., et al. (2011). Intranasal lidocaine and midazolam for procedural sedation in children. *Archives of Disease in Childhood*, *96*(2), 160-163.
- 38. Filho, E., de Carvalho, W., Gilio, A., Robinson, F., & Mason, K. (2013). Aerosolized intranasal midazolam for safe and effective sedation for quality computed tomography imaging in infants and children. *Journal of Pediatrics*, 163(4), 1217-1219.
- 39. Klein, E., Brown, J., Kobayashi, A., Osincup, D., & Seidel, K. (2011). A randomized clinical trial comparing oral, aerosolized intranasal, and aerosolized buccal midazolam. *Annals of Emergency Medicine*, *58*(4), 323-329.
- 40. Lane, R. & Schunk, J. (2008). Atomized intranasal midazolam use for minor procedures in the pediatric emergency department. *Pediatric Emergency Care*, 24(5), 300-303.
- 41. Lazol, J. & DeGroff, C. (2009). Minimal sedation second dose strategy with intranasal midazolam in an outpatient pediatric echocardiographic setting. Journal of American Society of Echocardiography, 22(4), 383-387.
- 42. Yildirim, S., Guc, B., Bozdogan, N., & Tokel, K. (2006). Oral versus intranasal midazolam premedication for infants during echocardiographic study. *Advances in Therapy*, 23(5), 719-724.
- 43. Baldwa, N., Padvi, A., Dave, N., & Garasia, M. (2012). Atomised intranasal midazolam spray as premedication in pediatric patients: Comparison between two doses of 0.2 and 0.3 mg/kg. *Journal of Anesthesia*, 26, 346-350.
- 44. Everitt, I., & Barnett, P. (2002). Comparison of two benzodiazepines used for sedation of children undergoing suturing of a laceration in an emergency department. *Pediatric Emergency Care*, 18(2), 72-74.
- 45. Peerbhay, R., & Elsheikhomer, A. (2016). Intranasal midazolam sedation in a pediatric emergency dental clinic. Anesthesia Progress, 63, 122-130.
- 46. Stephen, M., Mathew, J., Varghese, A., & Mathew, G. (2015). A randomized controlled trial comparing intranasal midazolam and chloral hydrate for procedural sedation in children. *Otolaryngology-Head and Neck Surgery*, 153(6), 1042-1050.
- 47. Sheta, S., Al-Sarheed, M., & Abdelhalim, A. (2014). Intranasal dexmedetomidine vs midazolam for premedication in children undergoing complete dental rehabilitation: a double-blinded randomized controlled trial. *Pediatric Anesthesia*, 24, 181-189.
- 48. Fallah, R., Nakhaei, M., Behdad, S., Moghaddam, R., & Shamszadeh, A. (2013). Oral chloral hydrate vs. Intranasal midazolam for sedation during computerized tomography. *Indian Pediatrics*, *50*(2), 233-235.
- 49. Moro-Sutherland, D., Algren, J., T., Louis, P., T., Kozinetz, C., A., & Shook, J., E. (2000). Comparison of intravenous midazolam with pentobarbital for sedation for head computed tomography imaging. *Academic Emergency Medicine*, 7(12), 1370-1375.
- 50. Mason, K. P., Sanborn, P., Zurakowski, D., Karian, V. E., Connor, L., Fontaine, P. J., et al. (2004). Superiority of pentobarbital versus chloral hydrate for sedation in infants during imaging. *Radiology*, 230, 537-542.
- 51. Mason, K. P., Zurakowski, D., Karian, V. E., Connor, L., Fontaine, P. J., & Burrows, P. E. (2001). Sedatives used in pediatric imaging: Comparison of IV pentobarbital with IV pentobarbital with midazolam added. *AJR*, 177, 427-430.
- 52. Mallory, M. D., Baxter, A. L., & Kost, S. I. (2009). Propofol vs pentobarbital for sedation of children undergoing magnetic resonance imaging: Results from the pediatric sedation research consortium. *Pediatric Anesthesia*, 19(6), 601-611.
- 53. Mason, K. P., Zurakowski, D., Connor, L., Karian, V. E., Fontaine, P. J., Sanborn, P., et al. (2004). Infant sedation for MR imaging and CT: Oral versus intravenous pentobarbital. *Radiology*, 233, 723-728
- 54. Abdelkefi, A., Abdennebi, Y., Mellouli, F., Othman, T., Torjman, L., Ladeb, S., et al. (2004). Effectiveness of fixed 50% nitrous oxide oxygen mixture and EMLA cream for insertion of central venous catheters in children. *Pediatric Blood & Cancer, 43*(7), 777-779.
- 55. Annequin, D., Carbajal, R., Chauvin, P., Gall, O., Tourniaire, B., & Murat, I. (2000). Fixed 50% nitrous oxide oxygen mixture for painful procedures: A French survey. *Pediatrics*, 105(4), e47-e52.
- 56. Babl, F. E., Oakley, E., Puspitadewi, A., Sharwood, L. (2018). Limited analgesic efficacy of nitrous oxide for painful procedures in children. Emergency Medicine Journal, 25(11), 717-721.
- 57. Ekbom, K., Jakobsson, J., & Marcus, C. (2005). Nitrous oxide inhalation is a safe and effective way to facilitate procedures in pediatric outpatient departments. *Archives of Disease in Childhood*, *90*(10), 1073-1076.
- Ekbom, K., Kalman, S., Jakobsson, J., & Marcus, C. (2011). Efficient intravenous access without distress: A double-blind, randomized study of midazolam and nitrous oxide in children and adolescents. Archives of Pediatrics and Adolescent Medicine, 165(9), 785-791.
- 59. Gall, O., Annequin, D., Benoit, G., Glabeke, E., Vrancea, F., & Murat, I. (2001). Adverse events of premixed nitrous oxide and oxygen for procedural sedation in children. *Lancet*, 358(9292), 1514-1515.
- 60. Hee, H., Goy, R., & Suah-Bwee, A. (2003). Effective reduction of anxiety and pain during venous cannulation in children: A comparison of analgesic efficacy conferred by nitrous oxide, EMLA, and combination. *Pediatric Anaesthesia*, 13(3), 210-216.
- 61. Kanagasundaram, S. A., Lane, L. J., Cavalletto, B. P., Keneally, J. P., & Cooper, M. G. (2001). Efficacy and safety of nitrous oxide in alleviating pain and anxiety during painful procedures. *Archives of Disease in Childhood*, 84(6), 492-495.
- 62. Keidan, I., Zaslansky, R., Weinberg, M., Ben-Shlush, A., Jacobson, J., et al. (2005). Sedation during voiding cystourethrography: Comparison of the efficacy and safety of using oral midazolam and continuous flow nitrous oxide. *Journal of Urology, 174*(4 Pt 2), 1598-1601.
- 63. Lourenço-Matharu, L., Ashley, P. & Furness, S. Sedation of children undergoing dental treatment. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2012, Issue 3. Art. No.: CD003877.
- 64. Luhmann, J. D., Kennedy, R. M., Porter, F. L., Miller, J. P., & Jaffe, D. M. (2001). A randomized clinical trial of continuous-flow nitrous oxide and midazolam for sedation of young children during laceration repair. *Annals of Emergency Medicine*, 37(1), 20-27.
- 65. Pershad, J., Steinberg, S., & Waters, T. (2008). Cost-effectiveness analysis of anesthetic agents during peripheral intravenous cannulation in the pediatric emergency department. *Archives of Pediatrics and Adolescent Medicine*, *162*(10), 952-961.
- 66. Reinoso-Barbero, F., Pascual-Pascual, S., de Lucas, R., Garcia, S., Billoët, C., Dequenne, V., et al. (2011). Equimolar nitrous oxide/oxygen versus placebo for procedural pain in children: A randomized trial. *Pediatrics*, *127*(6), e1464-e1470.
- 67. Wilson, K., Girdler, N., & Welbury, R. (2006). A comparison of oral midazolam and nitrous oxide sedation for dental extractions in children. *Anaesthesia*, *61*, 1138-1144.
- 68. Zier, J., Rivard, P., Krach, L., & Wendorf, H. (2008). Effectiveness of sedation using nitrous oxide compared with enteral midazolam for botulinum toxin A injections in children. *Developmental Medicine & Child Neurology, 50*, 854-858.
- 69. Zier, J., Tarrago, R., & Liu, M. (2007). Level of sedation with nitrous oxide for pediatric medical procedures. *Anesthesia & Analgesia, 110*(5), 1399-1405.
- 70. Babl, F. E., Oakley, E., Seaman, C., Barnett, P., & Sharwood, L. N. (2008). High-concentration nitrous oxide for procedural sedation in children: Adverse events and depth of sedation. *Pediatrics*, 121(3), e528-e532.
- 71. Furuya, A., Ito, M., Suwa, M., Nishi, M., Horimoto, Y., Sato, H., et al. (2009). The effective time and concentration of nitrous oxide to reduce venipuncture pain in children. *Journal of Clinical Anesthesia*, *21*(3), 190-193.
- 72. Zier, J. L., Liu, M. (2011). Safety of high-concentration nitrous oxide by nasal mask for pediatric procedural sedation. *Pediatric Emergency Care*, 27(12), 1107-1112.
- 73. Baleine, J., Milesi, C., Mesnage, R., Novais, A., Combes, C., et al. (2014). Intubation in the delivery room: Experience with nasal midazolam. Early Human Development, 90, 39-43.
- 74. Milèsi, C., Baleine, J., Mura, T., Benito-Castro, F., Ferragu, F., et al. (2018). Nasal midazolam vs ketamine for neonatal intubation in the delivery room: A randomized trial. Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed, 103, F221-F226.

- 75. Mularoni, P. P., Cohen, L. L., DeGuzman, M. Mennuti-Washburn, J., Greenwald, M., & Simon, H. K. (2009). A randomized clinical trial of lidocaine gel for reducing infant distress during urethral catheterization. *Pediatric Emergency Care*, 25(7), 439-443.
- 76. Kozer, E., Rosenbloom, E., Goldman, D., Lavy, G., Rosenfeld, N., & Goldman, M. (2006). Pain in infants who are younger than 2 months during suprapubic aspiration (SPA) and transurethral bladder catheterization (TUC): A randomized, controlled study. *Pediatrics*, 118(1), e51-e56.
- 77. Vaughn, M., Paton, E. A., Bush, A., & Pershad, J. (2005). Does lidocaine gel alleviate pain of bladder catheterization in young children? A randomized controlled trial. *Pediatrics*, 116(4), 917-920.
- 78. Gerard, L. L., Cooper, C. S., Duethman, K. S., Gordley, B. M., & Kleiber, C. M. (2003). Effectiveness of lidocaine lubricant for discomfort during pediatric urethral catheterization. *Journal of Urology*, 170, 564-567.
- 79. Beale, J. P., Oglesby, A. J., Jones, A., Clancy, J., & Beattie, T. F. (2001). Comparison of oral and intravenous morphine following acute injury in children. *European Journal of Emergency Medicine*, *8*(4), 271-274.
- 80. Borland, M., Jacobs, I., King, B., & O'Brien, D. (2007). A randomized controlled trial comparing intranasal fentanyl to intravenous morphine for managing acute pain in children in the emergency department. *Annals of Emergency Medicine*, 49(3), 335-340.
- 81. Mahar, P. J., Rana, J. A., Kennedy, C. S., & Christopher, N. C. (2007). A randomized clinical trial of oral transmucosal fentanyl citrate versus intravenous morphine sulfate for initial control of pain in children with extremity injuries. *Pediatric Emergency Care*, 23(8), 544-548.
- 82. Borland, M., Bergesio, R., Pascoe, E., Turner, S., & Woodger, S. (2005). Intranasal fentanyl is an equivalent analgesic to oral morphine in paediatric burns patients for dressing changes: A randomised double blind crossover trial. *Burns*, *31*, 831-837.
- 83. Borland, M., Clark, L., & Esson, A. (2008). Comparative review of the clinical use of intranasal fentanyl versus morphine in a paediatric emergency department. *Emergency Medicine Australasia*, 20, 515-520.
- 84. Borland, M., Milsom, S., & Esson, A. (2011). Equivalency of two concentrations of fentanyl administered by the intranasal route for acute analgesia in children in a paediatric emergency department: A randomized controlled trial. *Emergency Medicine Australasia*, 23, 202-208.
- 85. Cole, J., Shepherd, M., & Young, P. (2009). Intranasal fentanyl in 1-3 year olds: A prospective study of the effectiveness of intranasal fentanyl as acute analgesia. *Emergency Medicine Australasia*, 21, 395-400.
- 86. Finn, M. & Harris, D. (2010). Intranasal fentanyl for analgesia in the paediatric emergency department. Emergency Medicine Journal, 27, 300-301.
- 87. Holdgate, A., Cao, A., & Lo, K. (2010). The implementation of intranasal fentanyl for children in a mixed adult and pediatric emergency department reduces time to analgesic administration. *Academic Emergency Medicine*, 17, 214-217.
- 88. Langston, W. T., Wathen J. E., Roback M. G., & Bajaj, L. (2008). Effect of ondansetron on the incidence of vomiting associated with ketamine sedation in children: A double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled trial. *Annals of Emergency Medicine*, 52(1), 30-34.
- 89. Agrawal, D., Manzi, S. F., Gupta, R., & Krauss, B. (2003). Preprocedural fasting state and adverse events in children undergoing procedural sedation and analgesia in a pediatric emergency department. *Annals of Emergency Medicine*, 42(5), 636-646.
- 90. Babl, F. E., Puspitadewi, A., Barnett, P., Oakley, E., & Spicer, M. (2005). Preprocedural fasting state and adverse events in children receiving nitrous oxide for procedural sedation and analgesia. *Pediatric Emergency Care*, 21(11), 736-743.
- 91. Ghaffar, S., Haverland, C., Ramaciotti, Scott, W. A., & Lemler, M. S. (2002). Sedation for pediatric echocardiography: Evaluation of preprocedure fasting guidelines. *Journal of the American Society of Echocardiography*, 15(9), 980-983.
- 92. Keidan, I., Gozal, D., Minuskin, T., Weinberg, M., Barkaly, H., & Augarten, A. (2004). The effect of fasting practice on sedation with chloral hydrate. Pediatric Emergency Care, 20(12), 805-807.
- 93. Roback, M. G., Bajáj, L., Wathen, J. E., & Bothner, J. (2004). Preprocedural fasting and adverse events in procedural sedation and analgesia in a pediatric emergency department: Are they related? *Annals of Emergency Medicine*, 44(5), 454-459.
- 94. Treston, G. (2004). Prolonged pre-procedure fasting time is unnecessary when using titrated intravenous ketamine for paediatric procedural sedation. *Emergency Medicine Australasia*, 16(2), 145-150.
- 95. Beach, M., Cohen, D., Gallagher, S., & Cravero, J. (2016). Major adverse events and relationship to nil per os status in pediatric sedation/anesthesia outside the operating room: A report of the Pediatric Sedation Research Consortium. *Anesthesiology*, 124(1), 80-88.
- 96. Bhatt, M., Johnson, D., Chan, J., Taljaard, M., Barrowman, N., Farion, K., et al. (2017). Risk factors for adverse events in emergency department procedural sedation for children. *JAMA Pediatrics*, 171(10), 957-964.
- 97. Bhatt, M., Johnson, D., Taljaard, M., Chan, J., Barrowman, N., Farion, K., et al. (2018). Association of preprocedural fasting with outcomes of emergency department sedation in children. *JAMA Pediatrics*, 172(7), 678-685.
- 98. Chumpitazi, C., Camp, E., Bhamidipati, D., Montillo, A., Caviness, A., Mayorquin, L., & Pereira, F. (2018) Shortened preprocedural fasting in the pediatric emergency department. *American Journal of Emergency Medicine*, 36, 1577-1580.
- 99. American College of Emergency Physicians. (2014). Clinical policy: Procedural sedation and analgesia in the emergency department.
- 100. American Academy of Pediatrics. (2019). Guidelines for monitoring and management of pediatric patients before, during and after sedation for diagnostics and therapeutic procedures.
- 101. Green, S., Leroy, P., Roback, M., Irwin, M., Adalfatto, G., Babl, F., Barbi, E., et al. (2020). An international multidisciplinary consensus statement on fasting before procedural sedation in adults and children. *Anaesthesia*. 75, 374-385.
- 102. Manchikanti, L., Malla, Y., Wargo, B., & Fellows, B. (2011). Preoperative fasting before interventional techniques: Is it necessary or evidence-based? Pain Physician. 14, 459-467.
- 103. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence. (2010) Sedation for diagnostic and therapeutic procedures in children and young people. Accessed July 8, 2016 from https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/cg112/evidence/full-guideline-136287325.
- 104. American Academy of Pediatric Dentistry. (2013). Guideline on use of nitrous oxide for pediatric dental patients. Retrieved September 3, 2013, from www.aapd.org/media/Policies Guidelines/G Nitrous.pdf.
- 105. Babl, F. E., Puspitadewi, A., et al. (2005). Preprocedural fasting state and adverse events in children receiving nitrous oxide for procedural sedation and analgesia. *Pediatric Emergency Care*, 21(11), 736-743.
- 106. Heinrich, M., Menzel, C., Hoffman, F., Berger, M., & von Schweinitz, D. (2014). Self-administered procedural analgesia using nitrous oxide/oxygen (50:50) in the pediatric surgery emergency room: Effectiveness and limitations. *European Journal of Pediatric Surgery*. [published online ahead of print May 12 2014].
- 107. Kupietzky, A., Tal, E., Shapira, J., & Ram, D. (2008). Fasting state and episodes of vomiting in children receiving nitrous oxide for dental treatment. *Pediatric Dentistry*, *30*(5), 414-419.
- 108. Pasaron, R., Burnweit, C., Zepra, J., Malvezzi, L., Knight, C., et al. (2014). Nitrous oxide procedural sedation in non-fasting pediatric patients undergoing minor surgery: A 12-year experience with 1,058 patients. *Pediatric Surgery International 31*(2), 173-180.
- 109. Yildizdas, D., Yapicioglu, H., & Yilmaz, H. L. (2004). The value of capnography during sedation or sedation/analgesia in pediatric minor procedures. Pediatric Emergency Care, 20(3), 162-165.
- 110. McQuillen, K. K., & Steele, D. W. (2000). Capnography during sedation/analgesia in the pediatric emergency department. *Pediatric Emergency Care*, 16(6), 401-404.
- 111. Tobias, J. D. (1999). End-tidal carbon dioxide monitoring during sedation with a combination of midazolam and ketamine for children undergoing painful, invasive procedures. *Pediatric Emergency Care, 15*(3), 173-175.
- 112. Kim, G., Green, S. M., Denmark, T. K., & Krauss, B. (2003). Ventilatory response during dissociative sedation in children-a pilot study. *Academic Emergency Medicine*, 10(2), 140-145.

- 113. Burton, J. H., Harrah, J. D., Germann, C. A., & Dillon, D. C. (2006). Does end-tidal carbon dioxide monitoring detect respiratory events prior to current sedation monitoring practice? *Academic Emergency Medicine*, *13*(5), 500-504.
- 114. Pena, B. M. G., & Krauss, B. (1999). Adverse events of procedural sedation and analgesia in a pediatric emergency department. *Annals of Emergency Medicine*, 34(4), 483-491.
- 115. Pershad, J., & Gilmore, B. (2006). Successful implementation of a radiology sedation service staffed exclusively by pediatric emergency physicians. *Pediatrics*, 117(3), e413-e422.
- 116. Pitetti, R. D., Singh, S., & Pierce, M. C. (2003). Safe and efficacious use of procedural sedation and analgesia by nonanesthesiologists in a pediatric emergency department. *Archives of Pediatrics and Adolescent Medicine*, *157*, 1090-1096.
- 117. Yamamoto, L. G. (2008). Initiating a hospital-wide pediatric sedation service provided by emergency physicians. Clinical Pediatrics, 47(1), 37-48.
- 118. Ekbom, K., Lindman, N., Marcus, C., Anderson, R., & Jakobsson, J. (2008). Health aspects among personnel working with nitrous oxide for procedural pain management in children. *Acta Anaesthesiologica Scandanavica*, *52*(4), 573-574.
- 119. Frampton, A., Browne, G., Lam, L., Cooper, M., & Lane, L. (2003). Nurse administered relative analgesia using high concentration nitrous oxide to facilitate minor procedures in children in an emergency department. *Emergency Medicine Journal*, 20(5), 410-413.
- 120. Zier, J. L., Drake, G. J., McCormick, P. C., Clinch, K. M., & Cornfield, D. N. (2007). Case-series of nurse administered nitrous oxide for urinary catherization in children. *Anesthesia & Analgesia*, 104(4), 876-879.
- 121. Rooks, V., Chung, T., Conner, L., Zurakowski, D., Hoffer, F., Mason, K., et al. (2003). Comparison of oral pentobarbital sodium (Nembutal) and oral chloral hydrate for sedation of infants during radiologic imaging: Preliminary results. *American Journal of Roentgenology, 180*(4), 1125-1128.
- 122. Warden, C., Bernard, P., & Kimball, T. (2010). The efficacy and safety of oral pentobarbital sedation in pediatric echocardiography. *Journal of American Society of Echocardiography*, 23(1), 33-37.
- 123. Bruce, E., Franck, L., & Howard, R. F. (2006). The efficacy of morphine and entonox analgesia during chest drain removal in children. *Pediatric Anesthesia*, 16(3), 302-308.
- 124. Bruce, E. A., Howard, R. F., & Franck, L. S. (2006). Chest drain removal pain and its management: A literature review. *Journal of Clinical Nursing*, 15(2), 145-154.
- 125. Rosen, D. A., Morris, J. L., Rosen, K. R., Valenzuela, R. C., Vidulich, M. G., Steelman, R. J., et al. (2000). Analgesia for pediatric thoracostomy tube removal. *Anesthesia & Analgesia*, 90(5), 1025-1028.
- 126. Yiannakopoulos, C. K., & Kanellopoulos, A. D. (2004). Innoxious removal of suction drains. Orthopedics, 27(4), 412.
- 127. Linneman, P. K., Terry, B. E., & Burd, R. S. (2000). The efficacy and safety of fentanyl for the management of severe procedural pain in patients with burn injuries. *Journal of Burn Care & Rehabilitation*, 21(6), 519-522.
- 128. MacPherson, R. D., Woods, D., & Penfold, J. (2008). Ketamine and midazolam delivered by patient-controlled analgesia in relieving pain associated with burn dressings. Clinical Journal of Pain, 24(7), 568-571.
- 129. Robert, R., Brack, A., Blakeney, P., Villarreal, C., Rosenberg, L., Thomas, C., & Meyer, W. J. (2003). A double-blind study of the analgesic efficacy of oral transmucosal fentanyl citrate and oral morphine in pediatric patients undergoing burn dressing change and tubbing. *Journal of Burn Care & Rehabilitation*, 24(6), 351-355.
- 130. Sharar, S. R., Carrougher, G. J., Selzer, K., O'Donnell, R., Vavilala, M.S., & Lee, L. A. (2002). A comparison of oral transmucosal fentanyl citrate and oral oxycodone for pediatric outpatient wound care. *Journal of Burn Care & Rehabilitation*, 23(1), 27-31.
- 131. Rogers, A. J., Greenwald, M. H., Deguzman, M. A., Kelley, M. E., & Simon, H. K. (2006). A randomized, controlled trial of sucrose analgesia in infants younger than 90 days of age who require bladder catheterization in the pediatric emergency department. *Academic Emergency Medicine*, 13(6), 617-622.
- 132. Adriansson, C., Suserud, B., & Bergbom, I. (2004). The use of topical anaesthesia at children's minor lacerations: An experimental study. *Accident and Emergency Nursing*, *4*, 74-84.
- 133. Chale, S., Singer, A. J., Marchini, S., McBride, M. J., & Kennedy, D. (2006). Digital versus local anesthesia for finger lacerations: A randomized controlled trial. *Academic Emergency Medicine*, *13*(10), 1046-1050.
- 134. Priestley, S., Kelly, A., Chow, L., Powell, C., & Williams, A. (2003). Application of topical local anesthetic at triage reduces treatment time for children with lacerations: A randomized controlled trial. *Annals of Emergency Medicine*, 42(1), 34-40.
- 135. Singer, A., & Stark, M. J. (2000). Pretreatment of lacerations with lidocaine, epinephrine, and tetracaine at triage: A randomized double-blind trial. *Academic Emergency Medicine*, 7(7), 751-756.
- 136. Singer, A., & Stark, M. J. (2001). LET versus EMLA for pretreating lacerations: A randomized trial. Academic Emergency Medicine, 8(3), 223-230.
- 137. White, N. J., Kim, M. K., Brousseau, C. C., Bergholte, J., & Hennes, H. (2004). The anesthetic effectiveness of lidocaine-adrenaline-tetracaine gel on finger lacerations. *Pediatric Emergency Care*, 20(12), 812-815.
- 138. Andolfatto, G., Willman, E., Joo, D., Miller, P., Wong, W., Koehn, M., et al. (2013). Intranasal ketamine for analgesia in the emergency department: A prospective observational series. *Academic Emergency Medicine*, 20, 1050-1054.
- 139. Gyanesh, P., Haldar, R., Srivastava, D., Agrawal, P., Tiwari, A., & Singh, P. (2013). Comparison between intranasal dexmedetomidine and intranasal ketamine as premedication for procedural sedation in children undergoing MRI: A double-blinded, randomized, placebo-controlled trial. *Journal of Anesthesia*. [published online ahead of print June 26 2013].
- 140. Jahromi, S., Valami, S., Adeli, N., & Yazdi, Z. (2012). Comparison of the effects of intranasal midazolam versus different doses of intranasal ketamine on reducing preoperative pediatric anxiety: A prospective randomized clinical trial. *Journal of Anesthesia*, 26(6), 878-882.
- 141. Yeaman, F., Oakley, E., Meek, R., & Graudins, A. (2013). Sub-dissociative dose intranasal ketamine for limb injury pain in children in the emergency department: A pilot study. *Emergency Medicine Australasia*, 25, 161-167.
- 142. Andersson, H., Hellström, P., & Frykholm, P. (2017). Introducing the 6-4-0 fasting regimen and the incidence of prolonged preoperative fasting in children. *Pediatric Anesthesia*, 28, 46-52.
- 143. Andersson, H., Zarèn, B., & Frykholm, P. (2015). Low incidence of pulmonary aspiration in children allowed intake of clear fluids until called to the operating suite. *Pediatric Anesthesia*, 25, 770-777.
- 144. Schmidt, A., Buehler, P., Seglias, L., Stark, T., Brotschi, B. et al. (2015). Gastric pH and residual volume after 1 and 2 h fasting time for clear fluids in children. *British Journal of Anaesthesia*, 114(3), 477-482.
- 145. Schmidt, A., Buehler, K., Both, C., Wiener, R., Klaghofer, R., Hersberger, M., Weiss, M., & Schmitz, A. (2018). Liberal fluid fasting: impact on gastric pH and residual volume in healthy children undergoing general anaesthesia for elective surgery. *British Journal of Anaesthesia, 121*(3), 647-655.
- 146. American Society of Anesthesiologists Task Force on Moderate Procedural Sedation and Analgesia, the American Association of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgeons, American College of Radiology, American Dental Association, American Society of Dentist Anesthesiologists, and Society of Interventional Radiology. (2018). Practice guidelines for moderate procedural sedation and analgesia. *Anesthesiology*, 128(3), 437-479.
- 147. American Society of Anesthesiologists. (2017). Practice guidelines for preoperative fasting and the use of pharmacologic agents to reduce the risk of pulmonary aspiration: An updated report. *Anesthesiology*, 126(3), 376-393.
- 148. Smith, I., Kranke, P., Murat, I., Smith, A., O'Sullivan, G. et al. (2011). Perioperative fasting in adults and children: Guidelines from the European Society of Anaesthesiology. *European Journal of Anaesthesiology*, 28(8), 556-569.
- 149. Thomas, M., Newton, R., Morrison, C., & Schindler, E. (2018). Consensus statement on clear fluids fasting for elective pediatric general anesthesia. *Pediatric Anesthesia*, 00, 1-4. https://doi.org/10.1111/pan.13370

- 150. Rosen, D., Gamble, J., Matava, C., & Canadian Pediatric Anesthesia Society Fasting Guidelines Working Group. (2019). Canadian Pediatric Anesthesia Society statement on clear fluid fasting for elective pediatric anesthesia. Can J Anesth, 66, 991-992. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12630-019-01382-z
- 151. Becke, K., Eich, C., Hohne, C., Johr, M., Machotta, A., et al. (2018). Choosing wisely in pediatric anesthesia: An interpretation from the German Scientific Working Group of Paediatric Anaesthesia (WAKKA). *Paediatr Anaesth*, 28(7), 588-596. https://doi.org/10.1111/pan.13383
- 152. Children's Hospital of Philadelphia. (2018). Pre-procedure information important instructions about eating and drinking before anesthesia or sedation. Retrieved from https://www.chop.edu/patients-and-visitors/guide-your-childs-surgery/day-before-surgery/eating-and-drinking-restrictions-surgery.
- 153. Cote, C. J., Karl, H. W., Notterman, D. A., Weinberg, J. A., & McCloskey, C. (2008). Adverse sedation events in pediatrics: Analysis of medications used for sedation. *Pediatrics*, 106(4), 633-644.
- 154. Scottish Intercollegiate Guidelines Network. (2004). Safe sedation of children undergoing diagnostic and therapeutic procedures.
- 155. EMSC Grant Writing Committee. (2004). Clinical policy: Evidence-based approach to pharmacologic agents used in pediatric sedation and analgesia in the emergency department. *Journal of Pediatric Surgery*, 39(10), 1472-1484.
- 156. U. S. Food and Drug Administration. (2016) FDA Drug Safety Communication: FDA review results in new warning about using general anesthetics and sedation drugs in young children and pregnant women. Retrieved March 2, 2017 from https://www.fda.gov/Drugs/DrugSafety/ucm532356.htm.
- 157. Chen, E., Joseph, M., &Zeltzer, L. (2000). Alteration of memory in the reduction of children's distress during repeated aversive medical procedures. Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology, 67(4), 481-490.
- 158. Yip P, Middleton P, Cyna AM, Carlyle AV. Non-pharmacological interventions for assisting the induction of anesthesia in children. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2009, Issue 3, Art. No.: CD006447, DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD006447.pub2.
- 159. Piira, T., Sugiura, T., Champion, G. D., Donnelly, N., & Cole, A. S. J. (2005). The role of parental presence in the context of children's medical procedures: A systematic review. *Child: Care, Health and Development, 31*(2), 233-243.
- 160. Dingeman, R. S., Mitchell, E. A., Meyer, E. C., & Curley, M. A. Q. (2007). Parent presence during complex invasive procedures and cardiopulmonary resuscitation: A systematic review of the literature. *Pediatrics*, 120(4), 842-854.
- 161. Lacey, C.M., Finkelstein, M., & Thygeson, M.V. (2008). The impact of positioning on fear during immunizations: Supine versus sitting up. *Journal of Pediatric Nursing*, 23(3), 195-200.
- 162. Sparks, L. A., Setlik, J., & Luhman, J. (2007). Parental holding and positioning to decrease IV distress in young children: A randomized controlled trial. *Journal of Pediatric Nursing*, 22(6), 440-447.
- 163. Stephens, B.K., & Barkey, M.E. (1999). Techniques to comfort children during stressful procedures. Accident and Emergency Nursing, 7, 226-236.
- 164. Uman LS, Chambers CT, McGrath PJ, Kisely SR. Psychological interventions for needle-related procedural pain and distress in children and adolescents. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2006, Issue 4. Art. No.: CD005179. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD005179.pub2.
- 165. Bhatt, M., Kennedy, R. M., Osmond, M. H., Krauss, B., McAllister, J. D., Ansermino, J. M., et al. (2009). Consensus-based recommendations for standardizing terminology and reporting adverse events for emergency department procedural sedation and analgesia in children. *Annals of Emergency Medicine*, 53(4), 426-435.e4.
- 166. Newman, D. H., Azer, M. M., Pitetti, R. D., & Singh, S. (2003). When is a patient safe for discharge after procedural sedation? The timing of adverse effect events in 1,367 pediatric procedural sedations. *Annals of Emergency Medicine*, 42(5), 627-635.
- 167. Reeves, S. T., Havidich, J. E., & Tobin, D. P. (2004). Conscious sedation of children with propofol is anything but conscious. *Pediatrics, 114*(1), e74-e76.
- 168. American College of Radiology. (2005). ACR practice guideline for pediatric sedation/analgesia. Retrieved from http://www.spitjudms.ro/_files/protocoale_terapeutice/radiologie/12262.pdf
- 169. Green, S. M., Roback, M. G., Miner, J. R., Burton, J. H., & Krauss, B. (2007). Fasting and emergency department procedural sedation and analgesia: A consensus-based clinical practice advisory. *Annals of Emergency Medicine*, 49(4), 454-461.

Clinical Standards Preparation

This clinical standard was prepared by the Evidence-Based Outcomes Center (EBOC) team in collaboration with content experts at Texas Children's Hospital. Development of this clinical standard supports the TCH Quality and Patient Safety Program initiative to promote clinical standards and outcomes that build a culture of quality and safety within the organization.

Sedation Oversight Committee Members Barbara-Jo Achuff, MD, Critical Care

Joseph Angelo, MD, Renal

Gloriane Bond, FNP-BC, Anesthesiology

Natashia Bush, RN, Emergency Medicine

Katrin Cambell, MD, Anesthesiology

Corrie Chumpitazi, MD, Emergency Medicine

Hillary Cloyd, RN, Anesthesiology

Nancy Curie, RN, Neurology

Nilesh Desai, MD, Radiology

Heather Dickerson, MD, Cardiology

ZoAnn Dreyer, MD, Hematology-Oncology Nicholas Ettinger, MD, Critical Care

Lee Evey, R.R.T, Respiratory Therapy

Douglas Fishman, MD, Gastroenterology, Hepatology & Nutrition Rachel Gonzales, RN, Radiology

Sara Hyatt, DO, Critical Care

Suzanne Iniguez, RT, Respiratory Therapy

Grace Kao, PhD, Psychology

Tarra Kerr, RN, Administration/Leadership

Wendy Nasser, CPNP-AC, Critical Care

Akwaugo Nduka, CFNP, Anesthesiology

Regina Okhuysen-Cawley, MD, Critical Care Jennifer Placencia, PharmD, Pharmacy

Krist Preisberga, MD, Pediatric Hospital Medicine

Huma Shaikh, MD, Emergency Medicine

Julia Shelburne, MD, Pediatric Hospital Medicine

Joan Shook, MD, Emergency Medicine Drew Sikes, WHNP-BC, Obstetrics and Gynecology Maternal Fetal Medicine

Kimberly Taylor, RN, Perioperative Services

Laura Torres, MD, Anesthesiology

Veronica Victorian, PA-C, General Surgery

Lea Villadiego, FNP-C, Obstetric and Gynecologic Anesthesiology

Amanda Ward, Perioperative Services

Patricia Wills Bagnato, CPNP-PC, Hematology-Oncology

Janet Winebar, RN, Perioperative Services

EBOC Team

Andrea Jackson, MBA, CCRN-K, Evidence-Based Practice Specialist Binita Patel, MD, Chief Quality Officer, Medicine

Additional EBOC Support

Betsy Lewis, MSN, RN, CNL, Evidence-Based Practice Specialist Sheesha Porter, MS, RN, CNOR, Evidence-Based Practice Specialist Anne Dykes, MSN, RN, ACNS-BC, Manager

No relevant financial or intellectual conflicts to report.

Development Process

This clinical standard was developed using the process outlined in the EBOC Manual. The literature appraisal documents the following steps:

- 1. Review Preparation
 - PICO questions established
- Evidence search confirmed with content experts
- 2. Review of Existing External Guidelines
 - American Society of Anesthesiologists guideline for Preoperative Fasting and use of pharmacologic agents to reduce the risk of pulmonary aspiration, American Society of Anesthesiologists Practice guideline for Sedation and Analgesia by Non-Anesthesiologists, American College of Radiology Practice Guideline for Pediatric Sedation/Analgesia, American Academy of Pediatrics Guideline for monitoring and management of pediatric patients during and after sedation for diagnostic and therapeutic procedures, Clinical Practice Guideline for Emergency Department Ketamine Dissociative Sedation in Children, Practice Guidelines for Moderate Procedural Sedation and Analgesia, Practice guidelines for preoperative fasting and the use of pharmacologic agents to reduce the risk of pulmonary aspiration: An updated report, Perioperative fasting in adults and children: Guidelines from the European Society of Anaesthesiology, Consensus statement on clear fluids fasting for elective pediatric general anesthesia,

Canadian Pediatric Anesthesia Society statement on clear fluid fasting for elective pediatric anesthesia, Choosing wisely in pediatric anesthesia: An interpretation from the German Scientific Working Group of Paediatric Anaesthesia (WAKKA), Pre-procedure information-important instructions about eating and drinking before anesthesia or sedation, Clinical Policy: Procedural Sedation and Analgesia in the Emergency Department, An International Multidisciplinary Consensus Statement on Fasting Before Procedural Sedation in Adults and Children

- 3. Literature Review of Relevant Evidence
 - Searched: PubMed, Cochrane, CINAHL
- 4. Critically Analyze the Evidence
 - Four systematic reviews /meta-analyses, fifty-two randomized controlled trials (RCTs), and eighty-two non-randomized studies
- 5. Summarize the Evidence
 - Materials used in the development of the guideline, evidence summary, and order sets are maintained in a Procedural Sedation evidence-based review manual within EBOC.

Evaluating the Quality of the Evidence

Published clinical guidelines were evaluated for this review using the AGREE II criteria. The summary of these guidelines are included in the literature appraisal. AGREE II criteria evaluate Guideline Scope and Purpose, Stakeholder Involvement, Rigor of Development, Clarity and Presentation, Applicability, and Editorial Independence using a 4-point Likert scale. The higher the score, the more comprehensive the guideline.

This clinical standard specifically summarizes the evidence in support of or against specific interventions and identifies where evidence is lacking/inconclusive. The following categories describe how research findings provide support for treatment interventions.

"Evidence Supports" provides evidence to support an intervention "Evidence Against" provides evidence against an intervention.

"Evidence Lacking/Inconclusive" indicates there is insufficient evidence to support or refute an intervention and no conclusion can be drawn from the evidence.

The GRADE criteria were utilized to evaluate the body of evidence used to make practice recommendations. The table below defines how the quality of the evidence is rated and how a strong versus weak recommendation is established. The literature appraisal reflects the critical points of evidence.

	to or evidence.
	Recommendation
STRONG	Desirable effects clearly outweigh undesirable effects or vice versa
WEAK	Desirable effects closely balanced with undesirable effects
Quality	Type of Evidence
High	Consistent evidence from well-performed RCTs or exceptionally strong evidence from unbiased observational studies
Moderate	Evidence from RCTs with important limitations (e.g., inconsistent results, methodological flaws, indirect evidence, or imprecise results) or unusually strong evidence from unbiased observational studies
Low	Evidence for at least 1 critical outcome from observational studies, RCTs with serious flaws or indirect evidence
Very Low	Evidence for at least 1 critical outcome from unsystematic clinical observations or very indirect evidence

Recommendations

Practice recommendations were directed by the existing evidence and consensus amongst the content experts. Patient and family preferences were included when possible. The Content Expert Team and EBOC team remain aware of the controversies in procedural sedation outside of the operating room in children. When evidence is lacking, options in care are provided in the clinical standard and the accompanying order sets (if applicable).

Approval Process

Clinical standards are reviewed and approved by hospital committees as deemed appropriate for its intended use. Clinical standards are reviewed as necessary within EBOC at Texas Children's Hospital. Content Expert Teams are involved with every review and update.

Disclaimer

Practice recommendations are based upon the evidence available at the time the clinical standard was developed. Clinical standards (guidelines, summaries, or pathways) do not set out the standard of care, and are not intended to be used to dictate a course of care. Each physician/practitioner must use his or her independent judgment in the management of any specific patient and is responsible, in consultation with the patient and/or the patient family, to make the ultimate judgment regarding care.

Version History

Action	Date
Originally completed	May 2010
Addendum added	May 2014
Revised	July 2017
Revised	February 2018
Revised	November 2018
Revised	October 2019
Revised	November 2020
Reaffirmation with revision	February 2023